Annex No 5

to the Guidelines for applicants of the open call “Provision of Health Offices in Pre-schools and Schools with Methodological Tools ” under the EEA financial mechanism programme “Health” 2014-2021

**PROJECT BENEFIT AND QUALITY EVALUATION METHODOLOGY**

1. **General information on the application:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Code of the application |  |
| Title of the application |  |
| title of the Applicant |  |

1. **Evaluation criteria:**

| **No** | **Criterion** | **Key aspects of evaluation and the evaluation scale** | **Score given by the evaluator** | **Information source** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **The maximum score that can be given\*** |  |  |
| 1. | The Projects are implemented in weak areas and in areas which are characterized by a set of social indicators of moderate weakness.1 | Percentage of the health offices from weak and moderately weak areas, that are included in the Project application, of the total number of offices included in the application:  *35 points – if 30 % and more of the offices are registered in a weak and (or) moderately weak area*  *25 points – if 10-29 % of the offices are registered in a weak and (or) moderately weak area;*  *15 points – if 5-9 % of the offices are registered in a weak and (or) moderately weak area.* |  | Application |
| 2. | Provision of health offices with tools intended to strengthen mental health. | Percentage of the tools to promote mental health included in the Project (See the List of the Recommended tools to promote mental health) of the total number of tools that are planned to be acquired:  *25 points – if 20% and more of the number of the tools envisaged are the tools for mental health;*  *20 points – if 16-19% of the number of the tools envisaged are the tools for mental health;*  *15 points – if 10.01-15% of the number of the tools envisaged are the tools for mental health.* |  | Application |
| 3. | The contribution of the Applicant to the total budget of the Project. | Percentage of the contribution of the Applicant to the total budget of the Project:  *20 points – 26 % and more of own contribution;*  *15 points – 21-25 % of own contribution;*  *10 points – 16-20 % of own contribution;*  *5 points – 11-15 % of own contribution.* |  | Application |
| 4. | The Projects are implemented in the municipality which has not received funding for similar activities. | The offices of schools / pre-schools, that are included in the Project application, are registered in the municipality that has not received funding of the Instrument “Improvement of the provision of healthcare services at schools and pre-schools“ of Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2009-2014 Programme LT11 “Public Health Initiatives“.2  *20 points – if 100% of health offices are registered in the municipality that has not been granted support from Norwegian Financial Mechanism.* |  | Application |
| **TOTAL SCORES GIVEN** | | | (out of 100) | |

**The evaluation concluded as**: Positive  Negative

Date of evaluation

1Based on the Master Plan of the Territory of the Republic of Lithuania approved by the Resolution No IX-1154 of the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania of 29 October 2002 on the Master Plan of the Territory of the Republic of Lithuania. The information is publicly available at:<http://www.bendrasisplanas.lt/interaktyvus-duomenys/>. The municipalities are evaluated according to a set of social indicators.

**Weak areas**: Ignalina District Municipality, Jurbarkas District Municipality, Lazdijai District Municipality, Pagėgiai Municipality, Rokiškis District Municipality, Skuodas District Municipality, Visaginas Municipality.

**Areas of moderate weakness**: Akmenė District Municipality; Alytus City Municipality; Alytus District Municipality; Anykščiai District Municipality; Birštonas District Municipality; Biržai District Municipality; Druskininkai Municipality; Joniškis District Municipality; Kalvarija Municipality; Kazlų Rūda Municipality; Kelmė District Municipality; Kėdainiai District Municipality; Kupiškis District Municipality; Mažeikiai District Municipality; Pakruojis District Municipality; Pasvalys District Municipality; Radviliškis District Municipality; Raseiniai District Municipality; Šakiai District Municipality; Šilutė District Municipality; Švenčionys District Municipality; Tauragė District Municipality; Telšiai District Municipality; Ukmergė District Municipality; Varėna District Municipality; Vilkaviškis District Municipality; Zarasai District Municipality.

2 The municipalities having received funding of the Instrument “Improvement of the provision of healthcare services at schools and pre-schools“ of Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2009-2014 Programme LT11 “Public Health Initiatives“: Akmenė District Municipality, Alytus City Municipality, Alytus District Municipality, Biržai District Municipality, Druskininai Municipality, Jonava District Municipality, Kalvarija Municipality, Lazdijai District Municipality, Marijampolė Municipality, Mažeikiai District Municipality, Molėtai District Municipality, Pagėgiai Municipality, Panevėžys District Municipality, Pasvalys District Municipality, Plungė District Municipality, Šalčininkai District Municipality, Tauragė District Municipality, Telšiai District Municipality, Ukmergė District Municipality, Utena District Municipality, Vilnius City Municipality, Vilnius District Municipality.

**\* Percentages are arithmetically rounded to a whole number.**